

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION TO A CRITICAL EQUATION WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT

JULIÁN FERNÁNDEZ BONDER, NICOLAS SAINTIER AND ANALÍA SILVA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the existence problem for the $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator with a nonlinear critical source. We find a local condition on the exponents ensuring the existence of a nontrivial solution that shows that the Pohozaev obstruction does not hold in general in the variable exponent setting. The proof relies on the Concentration-Compactness Principle for variable exponents and the Mountain Pass Theorem.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we address the existence problem for the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator with a source that has critical growth in the sense of the Sobolev embeddings. To be precise, we consider the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(\cdot)} u + h|u|^{p(\cdot)-2}u = |u|^{q(\cdot)-2}u & \text{in } U, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial U, \end{cases}$$

where $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a **smooth** bounded domain, $p, q: U \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ are Log-Hölder continuous functions such that $1 < \inf_U p \leq \sup_U p < n$ and $1 \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) := np(x)/(n - p(x))$, $x \in U$.

The $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator $\Delta_{p(\cdot)}$ is defined, as usual, as

$$\Delta_{p(\cdot)} u := \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2} \nabla u).$$

This operator appears in the study of the so-called electrorheological fluids. We refer to the monograph by M. Ružička, [19], and its references, for a detailed account. In particular, after some simplifications, the modelling of these fluids lead to solve

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(\cdot)} u = f(\cdot, u, \nabla u) & \text{in } U, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial U, \end{cases}$$

for some nonlinear source f . In most cases, the source term is taken to be only dependent on u and so, in order for the usual variational techniques to work, one needs a control on the growth of f given by the Sobolev embedding.

When the growth of f is subcritical in the sense of the Sobolev embedding the existence of solution follows easily by applying standard procedures of the calculus of variations (see e.g. [6, 8, 9, 15, 16] and many others). On the other hand, when the source term has critical growth, there are only a few results on the existence of solutions for (1.2) that we will review thoroughly later. Let us just notice for the moment that these results only provide global existence conditions. This strongly contrasts with the constant exponent case that has been widely studied since Aubin and Brezis–Nirenberg’s seminal works [2, 5], and for which it is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35J92, 35B33.

Key words and phrases. Sobolev embedding, variable exponents, critical exponents, concentration compactness.

generally possible to provide existence conditions that are local in the sense that they involve the behaviour of the coefficients of the equations (and possibly some relevant geometric quantities) only in a neighborhood of a point. Our main purpose in this paper is to provide local existence conditions for the equation (1.1).

In order to study (1.1) by means of variational methods, we introduce the functional $J : W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(1.3) \quad J(u) := \int_U \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + h(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx - \int_U \frac{1}{q(x)} |u|^{q(x)} dx.$$

This functional is naturally associated to (1.1) in the sense that a weak solution of (1.1) is a critical point of J . We refer to Section 2 for the definition and some elementary properties of variable exponent spaces.

We need to assume that the smooth function h is such that the functional

$$(1.4) \quad I(u) := \int_U \frac{1}{p(x)} (|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + h(x)|u|^{p(x)}) dx$$

is coercive in the sense that the norm

$$\|u\| := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 \int_U \left| \frac{\nabla u + h(x)u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$$

is equivalent to the usual norm of $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$.

When $\inf_U q > \sup_U p$, it is easy to show that J satisfies the geometric assumptions of the Mountain–Pass Theorem (cf. Section 4). Hence if we assume moreover that the exponent q is *subcritical* in the sense that

$$(1.5) \quad \inf_U (p^* - q) > 0,$$

which implies that the immersion $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(U)$ is compact, then J satisfies the Palais–Smale condition, and the existence of a nontrivial solution to (1.1) follows easily.

When (1.5) is violated, the immersion $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(U)$ does not need to be compact and so the Palais–Smale condition may fail. The existence of a non-trivial solution to (1.1) is then a non-trivial problem.

We denote by

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{A} := \{x \in U : q(x) = p^*(x)\}$$

the *critical set*. We will assume in this work that this critical set is nonempty.

In [17] the authors prove that if \mathcal{A} is *small* and there exists a control on the rate of how q reaches the critical value p^* , then the immersion $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(U)$ remains compact, and so the usual techniques can be applied. When the immersion fails to be compact they prove that if the subcriticality set $U \setminus \mathcal{A}$ contains a sufficiently large ball, then (1.1) with $h = 0$ has a nonnegative solution.

In [12], problem (1.1) is studied with $h = 0$ and with a subcritical perturbation. In this work the authors generalize the Concentration–Compactness Principle (CCP) of P.L. Lions to the variable exponent case and prove that if the subcritical perturbation is large enough on the

critical set, the Palais–Smale condition is verified and so the existence of a nontrivial solution follows. See also [13] where similar results were obtained independently.

In [21], using the CCP of [12, 13], a multiplicity problem for (1.1) with $h = 0$ and a nonsymmetric subcritical perturbation is analyzed.

More recently, the authors in [11] studied the best Sobolev constant $S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), U)$ corresponding to the embedding $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(U)$, namely

$$(1.7) \quad S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), U) = \inf_{u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)} \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(U)}}{\|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(U)}}.$$

Using a refinement of the CCP proved in [12], they gave sufficient conditions for the existence of an extremal for $S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), U)$, and so the existence of a solution to (1.1) with $h = 0$ follows.

The study of (1.1) posed in the whole \mathbb{R}^n is analyzed in [1, 14]. In those works the authors studied the problem in the case where p, q and h are radial functions and give somewhat restrictive conditions to ensure the existence of a nontrivial radial solution.

From now on we will assume that

$$(1.8) \quad \sup_U p < \inf_U q.$$

Our first result provides a condition for the functional J defined by (1.3) to satisfy the Palais–Smale condition.

Theorem 1.1. *The functional J satisfies the Palais–Smale condition at level $c \in (0, \frac{1}{n}S^n)$ where*

$$(1.9) \quad S := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), B_\epsilon(x)),$$

and $S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), B_\epsilon(x))$ stands for the best Sobolev constant for the domain $B_\epsilon(x)$ defined in a similar way as in (1.7).

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a precise computation of the constants in the CCP recently proved by [11].

As a corollary, we can apply the Mountain–Pass Theorem to obtain the following necessary existence condition:

Theorem 1.2. *If there exists $v \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ such that*

$$(1.10) \quad \sup_{t>0} J(tv) < \frac{1}{n}S^n,$$

then (1.1) has a non-trivial nonnegative solution.

Eventually the following result provide a sufficient local condition for (1.10) to hold:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that the infimum in the definition (1.9) of S is attained at a point $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ such that x_0 is a local minimum of p and a local maximum of q . In particular*

$$(1.11) \quad -\Delta p(x_0) \leq 0 \leq -\Delta q(x_0).$$

Assume moreover that p, q are C^2 in a neighborhood of x_0 , and that $h(x_0) < 0$ if $1 < p(x_0) < 2$ ($n \geq 4$), or if $2 \leq p(x_0) < \sqrt{n}$ ($n \geq 5$), that at least one of the two inequalities in (1.11) is strict, but $h(x_0)$ is arbitrary. Under these assumptions (1.10) holds. In particular (1.1) has a non-trivial nonnegative solution.

In the constant exponent case, the well known Pohozaev obstruction [18] affirms that if $h \geq 0$ and U is starshaped then there are no (positive) solutions to (1.1). Our result shows that for variable p and q and $p(x) \geq 2$ this does not need to be the case, showing a striking difference between the constant exponent case and the variable exponent one.

2. PRELIMINARIES ON VARIABLE EXPONENT SPACES.

In this section we review some preliminary results regarding Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. All of these results and a comprehensive study of these spaces can be found in [7].

Consider a function $p : U \rightarrow [1, +\infty]$ Log-Hölder continuous in the sense that

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log |x - y||}, \quad \text{for } x, y \in U, \ x \neq y$$

for some constant $C > 0$. This regularity assumptions is not needed to define the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable p but turns out to be very useful for these Sobolev spaces to enjoy all the usual properties like Sobolev embeddings, Poincaré inequality and so on. We will therefore assume it from now for simplicity.

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(x)}(U)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(x)}(U) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(U) : \int_U |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

This space is endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_U \left| \frac{u(x)}{\lambda} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(x)}(U)$ is defined by

$$W^{1,p(x)}(U) = \{ u \in W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(U) : u \in L^{p(x)}(U) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(U) \}.$$

The corresponding norm for this space is

$$\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(U)} = \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}.$$

Define $W^{1,p(x)}_0(U)$ as the closure of $C^\infty_c(U)$ with respect to the $W^{1,p(x)}(U)$ norm. The spaces $L^{p(x)}(U)$, $W^{1,p(x)}(U)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}_0(U)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces when $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$, where $p^- := \text{ess} - \inf_U p$ and $p^+ := \text{ess} - \sup_U p$.

As usual, we denote the conjugate exponent of $p(x)$ by $p'(x) = p(x)/(p(x)-1)$ and the Sobolev exponent by

$$p^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)} & \text{if } p(x) < N, \\ \infty & \text{if } p(x) \geq N. \end{cases}$$

The following result is proved in [10] (see also [7], pp. 79, Lemma 3.2.20 (3.2.23)).

Proposition 2.1 (Hölder-type inequality). *Let $f \in L^{p(x)}(U)$ and $g \in L^{q(x)}(U)$. Then the following inequality holds*

$$\|fg\|_{L^{s(x)}(U)} \leq \left(\left(\frac{s}{p} \right)^+ + \left(\frac{s}{q} \right)^+ \right) \|f\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} \|g\|_{L^{q(x)}(U)},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{s(x)} = \frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)}.$$

The Sobolev embedding Theorem is also proved in [10], Theorem 2.3.

Proposition 2.2 (Sobolev embedding). *Let $q : U \in [1, +\infty)$ be a measurable function such that $1 \leq q(x) \leq p^*(x) < \infty$ for all $x \in \overline{U}$. Then there is a continuous embedding*

$$W^{1,p(x)}(U) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(U).$$

Moreover, if $\inf_U(p^ - q) > 0$ then, the embedding is compact.*

As in the constant exponent spaces, Poincaré inequality holds true (see [7], pp. 249, Theorem 8.2.4)

Proposition 2.3 (Poincaré inequality). *There is a constant $C > 0$, $C = C(U)$, such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(U)},$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(U)$.

It follows in particular from the Poincaré inequality that $\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}$ and $\|u\|_{W^{1,p(x)}(U)}$ are equivalent norms on $W_0^{1,p(x)}(U)$.

Throughout this paper the following notation will be used: Given $q : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ bounded, we denote

$$q^+ := \sup_U q(x), \quad q^- := \inf_U q(x).$$

The following proposition is also proved in [10] and it will be most usefull (see also [7], Chapter 2, Section 1).

Proposition 2.4. *Set $\rho(u) := \int_U |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx$. For $u \in L^{p(x)}(U)$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^{p(x)}(U)$, we have*

$$(2.1) \quad u \neq 0 \Rightarrow \left(\|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} = \lambda \Leftrightarrow \rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) = 1 \right).$$

$$(2.2) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} < 1 (= 1; > 1) \Leftrightarrow \rho(u) < 1 (= 1; > 1).$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}^{p^+}.$$

$$(2.4) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)}^{p^-}.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = 0.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{L^{p(x)}(U)} = \infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = \infty.$$

The following Lemma is the extension to variable exponents of the well-known Brezis-Lieb Lemma (see [4]). The proof is analogous to that of [4]. See Lemma 3.4 in [12].

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e and $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $L^{p(x)}(U)$ then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_U |f_n|^{p(x)} dx - \int_U |f - f_n|^{p(x)} dx \right) = \int_U |f|^{p(x)} dx.$$

For much more on these spaces, we refer to [7].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we verify that the functional J defined by (1.3) satisfies the Palais–Smale condition (PS for short) for energy levels below the critical one $\frac{1}{n}S^n$. The scheme of the proof is classical (see e.g. [20]) but relies on a version of Lions’ concentration–compactness principle adapted to the variable exponent setting in [12] and then refined in [11].

Let $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ be a PS–sequence for J . Recall that this means that the sequence $\{J(u_k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, and that $DJ(u_k) \rightarrow 0$ strongly in the dual space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)'$.

Recalling that the functional I defined by (1.4) is assumed to be coercive, it then follows that $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$. In fact, for k large, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} c + 1 &\geq J(u_k) - \frac{1}{q^-} \langle DJ(u_k), u_k \rangle \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} \right) \int_U |\nabla u_k|^{p(x)} + h(x) |u_k|^{p(x)} dx - \int_U \left(\frac{1}{q(x)} - \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u_k|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} \right) \int_U |\nabla u_k|^{p(x)} + h(x) |u_k|^{p(x)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

from where the claim follows recalling assumption (1.8).

We may thus assume that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$. We claim that u turns out to be a weak solution to (1.1). In fact, since $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_k|^{p(\cdot)-2} \nabla u_k &\rightharpoonup |\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)-2} \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'(\cdot)}(U), \\ |u_k|^{p(\cdot)-2} u_k &\rightharpoonup |u|^{p(\cdot)-2} u \quad \text{weakly in } L^{p'(\cdot)}(U), \\ |u_k|^{q(\cdot)-2} u_k &\rightharpoonup |u|^{q(\cdot)-2} u \quad \text{weakly in } L^{q'(\cdot)}(U). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle DJ(u_k), \phi \rangle \\ (3.1) \quad &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_U |\nabla u_k|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_k \nabla \phi + h |u_k|^{p(x)-2} u_k \phi dx - \int_U |u_k|^{q(x)-2} u_k \phi \\ &= \int_U |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi + h |u|^{p(x)-2} u \phi dx - \int_U |u|^{q(x)-2} u \phi, \end{aligned}$$

for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(U)$. This proves that u is a weak solution of (1.1).

By the CCP for variable exponents (see [12] and the refinement proved in [11]) it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_k|^{q(\cdot)} &\rightharpoonup \nu = |u|^{q(\cdot)} + \sum_{i \in I} \nu_i \delta_{x_i} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measures,} \\ |\nabla u_k|^{p(\cdot)} &\rightharpoonup \mu \geq |\nabla u|^{p(\cdot)} + \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i \delta_{x_i} \quad \text{weakly in the sense of measures,} \\ S \nu_i^{1/p^*(x_i)} &\leq \mu_i^{1/p(x_i)}, \end{aligned}$$

where I is a finite set, $\{\nu_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{\mu_i\}_{i \in I}$ are positive numbers and the points $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$ belong to the critical set \mathcal{A} defined in (1.6).

It is not difficult to check (arguing as in (3.1)) that $v_k := u_k - u$ is PS-sequence for $\tilde{J}(v) := J(v) - \int_U \frac{1}{p(x)} h|v|^{p(x)}$. Now, by Lemma 2.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_k) - J(u) &= \int_U \frac{1}{p(x)} \left[|\nabla v_k|^{p(x)} + h|v_k|^{p(x)} \right] dx - \int_U \frac{1}{q(x)} |v_k|^{q(x)} dx + o(1) \\ &= \tilde{J}(v_k) + \int_U \frac{1}{p(x)} h|v_k|^{p(x)} dx + o(1) \\ &= \tilde{J}(v_k) + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since u is a weak solution of (1.1), and since $p^+ < q^-$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_U \left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + h(x)|u|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \int_U |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p^+} - \frac{1}{q^-} \right) \int_U |u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$J(u_k) \geq \tilde{J}(v_k) + o(1).$$

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(U)$. As $D\tilde{J}(v_k) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle D\tilde{J}(v_k), v_k \phi \rangle \\ &= \int_U |\nabla v_k|^{p(x)} \phi dx - \int_U |v_k|^{q(x)} \phi dx + \int_U |\nabla v_k|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v_k \nabla \phi v_k dx \\ &= A - B + C. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_k \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$ it is easy to see that $C \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By means of Lemma 2.5 it follows that

$$A \rightarrow \int_U \phi d\tilde{\mu} \quad \text{and} \quad B \rightarrow \int_U \phi d\tilde{\nu},$$

where $\tilde{\mu} = \mu - |\nabla u|^{p(x)}$ and $\tilde{\nu} = \nu - |u|^{q(x)}$. So we conclude that $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\nu}$. In particular $\nu_i \geq \mu_i$ ($i \in I$) from where we obtain that $\nu_i \geq S^n$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} J(u_k) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{J}(v_k) = \int \frac{1}{p(x)} d\tilde{\mu} - \int \frac{1}{q(x)} d\tilde{\nu} \\ &= \int \left(\frac{1}{p(x)} - \frac{1}{q(x)} \right) d\tilde{\nu} = \sum_{i \in I} \left(\frac{1}{p(x_i)} - \frac{1}{p^*(x_i)} \right) \nu_i \\ &\geq \#(I) \frac{1}{n} S^n. \end{aligned}$$

We deduce that if $c < \frac{1}{n} S^n$ then I must be empty implying that $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)$.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is an immediate consequence of the Mountain–Pass Theorem, Theorem 1.1 and assumption (1.10).

In fact, it suffices to verify that J has the Mountain–Pass geometry and that $J(tu) < 0$ for some $t > 0$. Concerning the latter condition notice that for $t > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} J(tu) &= \int_U \frac{t^{p(x)}}{p(x)} \left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)} + h(x)|u|^{p(x)} \right) dx - \int_U \frac{t^{q(x)}}{q(x)} |\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx \\ &\leq t^{p^+} I(u) - t^{q^-} \int_U \frac{1}{q(x)} |\nabla u|^{q(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to $-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ since $q^- > p^+$.

It remains to see that J has the Mountain–Pass geometry. But $J(0) = 0$ and, if $\|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)} = r$ small enough, then

$$\int_U |\nabla v|^{p(x)} + h|v|^{p(x)} dx \geq \mathbf{C} \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)}^{p^+}$$

and

$$\|v\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(U)} \leq C \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)} = Cr < 1,$$

so

$$\int_U |v|^{q(x)} dx \leq C \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(U)}^{q^-}.$$

Therefore

$$J(v) \geq \frac{\mathbf{C}}{p^+} r^{p^+} - \frac{C}{q^-} r^{q^-} > 0,$$

since $p^+ < q^-$. This completes the proof.

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that

$$S := \inf_{x \in \mathcal{A}} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), B_\epsilon(x)) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), B_\epsilon(x_0)).$$

For ease of notation we assume that $x_0 = 0$, write $p = p(0)$ and observe that $q = q(0) = p^*$. From Theorem 6.1 in [11], we have that if 0 is a local maximum of q and a local minimum of p , then

$$S = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S(p(\cdot), q(\cdot), B_\epsilon(0)) = K(n, p)^{-1},$$

where $K(n, p)$ is the best constant in the Sobolev inequality in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.

$$K(n, p)^{-1} = \inf_{v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \frac{\|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|v\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

Let U be an extremal for the constant $K(n, p)$. That is, U verifies

$$K(n, p)^{-1} = \frac{\|\nabla U\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

It is well known, see [2, 22], that U can be given by the formula

$$U(x) = \left(1 + |x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-\frac{n-p}{p}}.$$

Moreover, any extremal for $K(n, p)$ is obtained by a translation and a dilation of U in the form

$$U_{\epsilon, x_0}(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{n-p}{p}} U((x - x_0)/\epsilon).$$

Given $\delta > 0$ small we take a cut-off function $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_{2\delta}, [0, 1])$ such that $\eta \equiv 1$ in B_δ . We then consider the test-function

$$u_\epsilon(x) = U_{\epsilon,0}(x)\eta(x).$$

For this test function we have:

Proposition 5.1. *Assume that 0 is a critical point of p and q . We have*

- If $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$,

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_\epsilon^{q(x)} dx = A_0 + A_1 \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon)$$

with

$$A_0 = f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p^*} dx, \quad A_1 = -\frac{n-p}{p} \frac{f(0)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p^*} (D^2 q(0)x, x) dx$$

- If $p < \min\{\sqrt{n}, \frac{n+2}{3}\}$,

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx = B_0 + B_1 \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon)$$

with

$$B_0 = f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^p dx, \quad B_1 = -\frac{n}{p} \frac{f(0)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^p (D^2 p(0)x, x) dx$$

- If $p < \sqrt{n}$,

$$(5.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |u_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx = C_0 \epsilon^p + o(\epsilon^p) \quad \text{with} \quad C_0 = f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^p dx.$$

Remark 5.2. Observe that if $g(x)$ is a radial function then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) (Ax, x) dx = \text{tr}(A) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) x_1^2 dx = \frac{\text{tr}(A)}{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) |x|^2 dx,$$

for any $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ (with adequate decaying assumptions at infinity on g). In fact this is a consequence of the fact that, for $i \neq j$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(x) x_i x_j dx = 0.$$

With this observation, we easily conclude that

$$A_1 = -\frac{f(0)}{p^*} \Delta q(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p^*} |x|^2 dx$$

and

$$B_1 = -\frac{f(0)}{2p} \Delta p(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^p |x|^2 dx.$$

We postpone the proof of this proposition to Section 6.

As U is an extremal for $K(n, p)$ it follows that U verifies

$$-\Delta_p U = \frac{K(n, p)^{-p}}{\|U\|_{L^{p^*}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p^*-p}} U^{p^*-1} = C U^{p^*-1}.$$

Then $V = C^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}U = \frac{K(n,p)^{-\frac{n-p}{p}}}{\|U\|_{p^*}}U$ solves $-\Delta_p V = V^{p^*-1}$ and satisfy

$$\|\nabla V\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} = K(n,p)^{-n/p}.$$

Consider the test function

$$v_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{n-p}{p}}V(x/\epsilon)\eta(x) = C^{\frac{1}{p^*-p}}u_\epsilon(x).$$

Using the previous proposition we immediately obtain

Proposition 5.3. *Assume that 0 is a critical point of p and q . If $p < \min\{\sqrt{n}, \frac{n+2}{3}\}$ then*

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)v_\epsilon^{q(x)} dx &= f(0)K(n,p)^{-n} + f(0)A\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)|\nabla v_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx &= f(0)K(n,p)^{-n} + f(0)B\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)|v_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx &= f(0)C\epsilon^p + o(\epsilon^p), \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -\frac{\Delta q(0)}{2p^*}K(n,p)^{-n}\|U\|_{p^*}^{-p^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 U^{p^*} dx, \\ B &= -\frac{\Delta p(0)}{2p}K(n,p)^{p-n}\|U\|_{p^*}^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |\nabla U|^p dx, \\ C &= K(n,p)^{p-n}\|U\|_{p^*}^{-p}\|U\|_p^p. \end{aligned}$$

Using v_ϵ as a test-function in (1.10) we can see that there exists $t_0 > 1$ such that $J(tv_\epsilon) < 0$ for $t > t_0$. Now if $p < 2$, we can write

$$f_\epsilon(t) := J(tv_\epsilon) = f_0(t) + \epsilon^p f_1(t) + o(\epsilon^p)$$

C^1 -uniformly in $t \in [0, t_0]$, with

$$f_0(t) = K(n,p)^{-n} \left(\frac{t^p}{p} - \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*} \right), \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(t) = \frac{1}{p} t^p h(0)C.$$

Notice that f_0 reaches its maximum in $[0, t_0]$ at $t = 1$. Moreover it is a nondegenerate maximum since $f_0''(1) = (p - p^*)K^{-n} \neq 0$. It follows that f_ϵ reaches a maximum at $t_\epsilon = 1 + a\epsilon^p + o(\epsilon^p)$ for $a = -\frac{f_1'(1)}{f_0''(1)}$. Hence

$$\sup_{t>0} J(tv_\epsilon) = J(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) = \frac{1}{n}K(n,p)^{-n} + f_1(1)\epsilon^p + o(\epsilon^p)$$

Then if $h(0) < 0$ we get $\sup_{t>0} J(tv_\epsilon) < \frac{1}{n}K(n,p)^{-n}$.

We now assume that $p \geq 2$. Then

$$f_\epsilon(t) = J(tv_\epsilon) = f_0(t) + \tilde{f}_1(t)\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon),$$

C^1 -uniformly in $t \in [0, t_0]$, with

$$\tilde{f}_1(t) = \frac{t^{p^*}}{p^*}A - \frac{t^p}{p}B.$$

As before f_ϵ reaches its maximum at $t_\epsilon = 1 + a\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon)$ with $a = -\frac{\tilde{f}_1'(1)}{\tilde{f}_0''(1)}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t>0} J(tv_\epsilon) &= J(t_\epsilon v_\epsilon) = f_0(1) + \tilde{f}_1(1)\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} K(n, p)^{-n} + \tilde{f}_1(1)\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

We thus need $\tilde{f}_1(1) < 0$ i.e.

$$(5.5) \quad -\Delta p(0) < -\Delta q(0)(p/p^*)^2 D(n, p), \quad \text{where} \quad D(n, p) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^p dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 U^{p^*} dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p^*} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |\nabla U|^p dx}.$$

Since 0 is a local maximum of q and a local minimum of p we already know that (1.11) holds. Then if one of the two inequalities in (1.11) is strict we see that (5.5) holds.

This ends the proof of Theorem 1.3.

As a final remark, we notice that we can compute $D(n, p)$ exactly. To do this let

$$(5.6) \quad I_p^q := \int_0^\infty t^{q-1} (1+t)^{-p} dt = B(q, p-q) = \frac{\Gamma(q)\Gamma(p-q)}{\Gamma(p)},$$

where $B(x, y) := \int_0^\infty t^{x-1} (1+t)^{-x-y} dt$ is the Beta function. This formula can be found, for instance, in [3]. Passing to spherical coordinates and then performing the change of variable $t = r^{\frac{p}{p-1}}$, $dr = \frac{p-1}{p} t^{-\frac{1}{p}} dt$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p^*} dx &= U_{n-1} \frac{p-1}{p} I_n^{n\frac{p-1}{p}}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 U^{p^*} dx &= \omega_{n-1} \frac{p-1}{p} I_n^{n\frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{2}{p} + 2}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^p dx &= \omega_{n-1} \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p I_n^{n\frac{p-1}{p} + 1}, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x|^2 |\nabla U|^p dx &= \omega_{n-1} \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p I_n^{n\frac{p-1}{p} - \frac{2}{p} + 3}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$D(n, p) = \frac{I_n^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} + 1} I_n^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \frac{2}{p} + 2}}{I_n^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} I_n^{\frac{n(p-1)}{p} - \frac{2}{p} + 3}} = \frac{n}{n-p} \frac{(n-p) - 2(p-1)}{n+2},$$

where we used that

$$I_p^{q+1} = \frac{q}{p-q-1} I_p^q$$

which follows from (5.6) and the formula $\Gamma(z+1) = z\Gamma(z)$.

6. PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.1

As 0 is a local minimum of $p(\cdot)$ we can assume that $p_{2\delta}^- := \min_{x \in B_{2\delta}} p(x) = p$.

6.1. **Proof of (5.1).** We first write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_\epsilon(x)^{q(x)} dx = \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_\epsilon^{q(x)} dx + \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_\epsilon(x)^{q(x)} dx = I_1(\epsilon) + I_2(\epsilon).$$

Since $u_\epsilon(x) \leq 1$ if $|x| \geq \epsilon^{1/p}$, we have, letting $q_{2\delta}^- := \min_{B_{2\delta}} q$ that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\epsilon) &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2\delta})} \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} u_\epsilon(x)^{q_{2\delta}^-} dx \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(B_{2\delta})} \epsilon^{n - \frac{n-p}{p} q_{2\delta}^-} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} U(x)^{q_{2\delta}^-} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the integral in the right hand side can be bounded by

$$C \int_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}^{+\infty} (1 + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-\frac{n-p}{p} q_{2\delta}^-} r^{n-1} dr \leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}^{+\infty} r^{-1+n-\frac{n-p}{p-1} q_{2\delta}^-} dr \leq C \epsilon^{-n \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{n-p}{p} q_{2\delta}^-}.$$

Hence $I_1(\epsilon) \leq C \epsilon^{n/p}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_\epsilon(x)^{q(x)} dx &= \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_\epsilon(x)^{q(x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{n/p}) \\ &= \int_{B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} f(\epsilon x) \epsilon^{n-q(\epsilon x) \frac{n-p}{p}} U(x)^{q(\epsilon x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{n/p}). \end{aligned}$$

As $\nabla q(0) = 0$ we get

$$q(\epsilon x) = q(0) + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 (D^2 q(0) x, x) + o(\epsilon^2 |x|^2),$$

with $q(0) = p(0)^* = p^*$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_\epsilon(x)^{q(x)} dx &= A_0(\epsilon) + A_1(\epsilon) \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + \int_{B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon) |x|^2 U(x)^{p^*} dx \\ &\quad + \epsilon \int_{B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} U(x)^{p^*} \nabla f(0) \cdot x dx + O(\epsilon^{n/p}) \\ &= A_0(\epsilon) + A_1(\epsilon) \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{n/p}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_0(\epsilon)$ and $A_1(\epsilon)$ are the same as A_0 and A_1 except that we integrate over $B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}$ instead of \mathbb{R}^n and we have used the fact that

$$\int_{B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} U(x)^{p^*} \nabla f(0) \cdot x dx = 0,$$

since U is radially symmetric. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |A_0(\epsilon) - A_0| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} U(x)^{p^*} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}^{+\infty} (1 + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-n} r^{n-1} dr \\ &\leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}^{+\infty} r^{\frac{-np}{p-1} + n-1} dr \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $p < (n+2)/2$, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |A_1(\epsilon) - A_1| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}} |x|^2 U(x)^{p^*} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-(p-1)/p}}^{+\infty} (1 + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{-n} r^{n+1} dr \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n+2-2p}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

We thus have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_{\epsilon}(x)^{q(x)} dx - A_0 - A_1 \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon = O(\epsilon^{n/p}) + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon),$$

which reduces to (5.1) if we assume that $p \leq n/2$.

6.2. Proof of (5.3). As before,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx = \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx + \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx$$

where, noticing that $p = p_{2\delta}^-$, the 2nd integral in the right hand side can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} u_{\epsilon}^p dx &\leq C \epsilon^p \int_{\epsilon^{1/p-1}}^{\infty} (1 + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}})^{p-n} r^{n-1} dr \\ &\leq C \epsilon^p \epsilon^{\frac{n-p^2}{p}} \\ &= C \epsilon^{\frac{n}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

if $p^2 < n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) u_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1/p}}} f(x) u_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{\frac{n}{p}}) \\ &= \int_{B_{\epsilon^{1/p-1}}} f(\epsilon x) \epsilon^{n - \frac{n-p}{p} p(\epsilon x)} U(x)^{p(\epsilon x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{\frac{n}{p}}) \\ &= \epsilon^p f(0) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U(x)^p dx + o(\epsilon^p). \end{aligned}$$

6.3. Proof of (5.2). We first write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\nabla u_{\epsilon}|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\eta \nabla U_{\epsilon} + U_{\epsilon} \nabla \eta|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\eta \nabla U_{\epsilon}|^{p(x)} dx + R_{\epsilon},$$

where, using the inequality

$$||a+b|^q - |a|^q| \leq C(|b|^q + |b||a|^{q-1}),$$

(the constant C being uniform in q for q in a bounded interval of $[0, +\infty)$) we can estimate

$$|R_{\epsilon}| \leq C \left[\int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\delta}} |\nabla \eta|^{p(x)} U_{\epsilon}^{p(x)} dx + \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\delta}} |\nabla \eta| U_{\epsilon}(x) |\nabla U_{\epsilon}|^{p(x)-1} dx \right] = C[I_1(\epsilon) + I_2(\epsilon)].$$

Since $U_{\epsilon} \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\delta}$ for ϵ small, we can bound $I_1(\epsilon)$ as before by

$$I_1(\epsilon) \leq C \int_{B_{2\delta} \setminus B_{\delta}} U_{\epsilon}^p dx \leq C \epsilon^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\delta/\epsilon}} U^p dx \leq C \epsilon^p \epsilon^{\frac{n-p^2}{p-1}} = C \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}},$$

if $p^2 < n$. Since $|\nabla U_\epsilon| \leq 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta$ for ϵ small, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(\epsilon) &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta} U_\epsilon(x) |\nabla U_\epsilon|^{p-1} dx \\ &\leq C \|U_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta)} \|\nabla U_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta)}^{p-1} \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(p-1)}} \|\nabla U_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta)}^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

with, since $|U'(r)| \sim r^{-\frac{n-1}{p-1}}$ as $r \sim +\infty$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\delta} |\nabla U_\epsilon|^p dx \leq C \int_{\delta/\epsilon}^{+\infty} |U'(r)|^p r^{n-1} dr \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}.$$

It follows that $I_2(\epsilon) = O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}})$ and then $R_\epsilon = O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}})$. Independently, since

$$|\nabla U_\epsilon(x)| = \frac{n-p}{p-1} \epsilon^{-n/p} \left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \left(1 + \left(\frac{|x|}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-n/p}$$

we have

$$(6.1) \quad |\nabla U_\epsilon(x)| < 1 \quad \text{for } |x| > C_p \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}, \quad C_p = \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{n-1}}.$$

Taking some constant $C > C_p$, we thus write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B_{C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}}} f(x) |\nabla U_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}}} f(x) |\nabla U_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla U_\epsilon(x)| < 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}}$, we can bound the second integral on the right hand side by

$$C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}}} |\nabla U_\epsilon|^p dx \leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p(n-1)}}}^{+\infty} r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \left(1 + r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \right)^{-n} r^{n-1} dr \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n(n-p)}{p(n-1)}} = o(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx &= \int_{B_{C\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p(n-1)}}}} f(x) |\nabla U_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx + O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}) \\ &= B_0(\epsilon) + B_1(\epsilon) \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon + o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon) + O(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}) \end{aligned}$$

where $B_0(\epsilon)$ and $B_1(\epsilon)$ are the same as B_0, B_1 but integrating over $B_{\frac{n(p-1)}{\epsilon}}$ instead of \mathbb{R}^n .

Again, as in the computation of (5.1), the term involving $\nabla f(0)$ vanishes for symmetry reasons.

Since $|U'(r)|^p \sim r^{\frac{p(1-n)}{p-1}}$ as $r \sim +\infty$, we have

$$|B_0 - B_0(\epsilon)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{C\epsilon^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p(n-1)}}}} |\nabla U|^p dx \leq C \int_{\epsilon^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p(n-1)}}}^{+\infty} r^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}-1} dr \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n(n-p)}{p(n-1)}} = o(\epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p-1}}),$$

$$|B_1 - B_1(\epsilon)| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{C\epsilon^{-\frac{n(p-1)}{p(n-1)}}}} |x|^2 |\nabla U|^p dx \leq C \epsilon^{\frac{n(n-3p+2)}{p(n-1)}} \quad \text{if } p < \frac{n+2}{3}.$$

Hence if $p < \frac{n+2}{3}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p(x)} dx - B_0 - B_1 \epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon = o(\epsilon^2 \ln \epsilon).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by Universidad de Buenos Aires under grant X078 and by CONICET (Argentina) PIP 5478/1438. A. Silva is a fellow of CONICET.

REFERENCES

- [1] Claudianor O. Alves and Marco A. S. Souto. Existence of solutions for a class of problems in \mathbb{R}^N involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian. In *Contributions to nonlinear analysis*, volume 66 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 17–32. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2006.
- [2] Thierry Aubin. Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev. *J. Differential Geometry*, 11(4):573–598, 1976.
- [3] Richard Beals and Roderick Wong. *Special functions*, volume 126 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010. A graduate text.
- [4] Haïm Brézis and Elliott Lieb. A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 88(3):486–490, 1983.
- [5] Haïm Brézis and Louis Nirenberg. Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 36(4):437–477, 1983.
- [6] Alberto Cabada and Rodrigo L. Pouso. Existence theory for functional p -Laplacian equations with variable exponents. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 52(2):557–572, 2003.
- [7] Lars Diening, Petteri Harjulehto, Peter Hästö, and Michael Ružička. *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, volume 2017 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [8] Teodora-Liliana Dinu. Nonlinear eigenvalue problems in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. *J. Funct. Spaces Appl.*, 4(3):225–242, 2006.
- [9] Xian-Ling Fan and Qi-Hu Zhang. Existence of solutions for $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 52(8):1843–1852, 2003.
- [10] Xianling Fan and Dun Zhao. On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 263(2):424–446, 2001.
- [11] Julián Fernández Bonder, Nicolas Saintier, and Analía Silva. On the sobolev embedding theorem for variable exponent spaces in the critical range. arXiv:1111.6952v1 [math.AP].
- [12] Julián Fernández Bonder and Analía Silva. Concentration-compactness principle for variable exponent spaces and applications. *Electron. J. Differential Equations*, pages No. 141, 18, 2010.
- [13] Yongqiang Fu. The principle of concentration compactness in $L^{p(x)}$ spaces and its application. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 71(5-6):1876–1892, 2009.
- [14] Yongqiang Fu and Xia Zhang. Multiple solutions for a class of $p(x)$ -Laplacian equations in involving the critical exponent. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 466(2118):1667–1686, 2010.
- [15] Mihai Mihăilescu. Elliptic problems in variable exponent spaces. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 74(2):197–206, 2006.
- [16] Mihai Mihăilescu and Vicențiu Rădulescu. On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 135(9):2929–2937 (electronic), 2007.
- [17] Yoshihiro Mizuta, Takao Ohno, Tetsu Shimomura, and Naoki Shioji. Compact embeddings for Sobolev spaces of variable exponents and existence of solutions for nonlinear elliptic problems involving the $p(x)$ -Laplacian and its critical exponent. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.*, 35(1):115–130, 2010.
- [18] S. I. Pohožaev. On the eigenfunctions of the equation $\Delta u + \lambda f(u) = 0$. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 165:36–39, 1965.
- [19] Michael Ružička. *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, volume 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.

- [20] Nicolas Saintier. Asymptotic estimates and blow-up theory for critical equations involving the p -Laplacian. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 25(3):299–331, 2006.
- [21] Analia Silva. Multiple solutions for the $p(x)$ -Laplace operator with critical growth. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, 11(1):63–75, 2011.
- [22] Giorgio Talenti. Best constant in Sobolev inequality. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)*, 110:353–372, 1976.

(J. Fernández Bonder and A. Silva) IMAS - CONICET AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

(N. Saintier) INSTITUTO DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE GENERAL SARMIENTO, JUAN MARÍA GUTIERREZ 1150 LOS POLVORINES - PCIA DE BS. AS. - ARGENTINA AND DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, FCEyN - UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PABELLÓN I (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA.

E-mail address, J. Fernandez Bonder: jfbonder@dm.uba.ar

URL, J. Fernandez Bonder: <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~jfbonder>

E-mail address, A. Silva: asilva@dm.uba.ar

E-mail address, N. Saintier: nsaintie@dm.uba.ar, nsaintie@ungs.edu.ar

URL, N. Saintier: <http://mate.dm.uba.ar/~nsaintie>